

Docket No.: 03P11408US  
App. No.: 10/675,817

### REMARKS

Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 21, 23, 26, 27 and 29-34 are now pending. Claims 1, 4, 7, 21 and 23 have been amended. Claims 29-34 have been added; however, no additional claims fees are required.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102*

The Patent Office rejected claims 1-5, 13-16, 23-25 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 20040203517A1 by Park, ("Park").

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103*

The Patent Office rejected claims 6, 9, 12, 17, 20 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by U.S. Publication No. 20040203517A1 by Park, ("Park") in view of U.S. Publication No. 20040212597A1 by Nuovo, ("Nuovo").

The Patent Office rejected claims 7, 8, 10, 21 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by U.S. Publication No. 20040203517A1 by Park, ("Park") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,436,954 by Nishiyama, ("Nishiyama").

Applicant respectfully traverses each rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). However, claims 1 and 21 have been amended to include the limitations on claims 11 and 22 respectively. Claim 23 has been amended similarly as claims 1 and 21. Consequently, claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 21, 23, 26, 27 and 29-34 are believed allowable.

#### *Allowable Subject Matter*

The Patent Office stated claims 11 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon an allowable base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Docket No.: 03P11408US  
App. No.: 10/675,817

Applicant thanks the Patent Office for the indication of allowable subject matter of claims 11 and 22. Applicant understood that the reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter given by the Patent Office at Page 7 of the Office Action were made in accordance with the following instruction per MPEP § 1302.14:

"The statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth."


#### CONCLUSION

The application is respectfully submitted to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, notification to that effect is earnestly solicited. In the event the indication of allowability is withdrawn, applicants reserve the right to amend the claims back to the original form for further prosecution, without prejudice, disclaimer or estoppel.

PLEASE MAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Siemens Corporation  
Customer No. 28524  
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator  
170 Wood Avenue South  
Iselin, NJ 08830

Respectfully submitted,

  
Anand Sethuraman, Reg. No. 43,351  
Attorney(s) for Applicant(s)  
Telephone: 650-943-7554  
Date: 8/2/06